REMARKS\ARGUMENTS

Further reconsideration is respectfully requested of the Final Official Action of June 1, 2006 relating to the above-identified application. Applicants' counsel expresses appreciation for the courtesy of a telephonic interview with Examiner Edwards on January 24, 2007.

Claim 30 was discussed during the telephonic interview and the Examiner made several suggestions for amendments to Claim 30. It is believed that Claim 30 presented herewith includes all the suggested changes.

The non-elected claims have been amended to define the product made by the method in terms commensurate in scope with Claim 30 as amended. Applicants therefore request that the non-elected claims be rejoined.

A Notice of Appeal was filed on November 30, 2006 to maintain pendency. A onemonth extension of time, together with the associated official fee, is filed herewith.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9-23, 25, 27, 41 and 42 stand withdrawn and applicants request that those claims be rejoined.

The claims remaining in the case are:

Claims 30, 32, 33, 35-37, 39 and 40.

The rejection of Claims 30, 32, 33, 39 and 40 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over the patent of *De Zen*, U.S. 6,189,269, in view of *Markush*, U.S. 4,097,422, is traversed and reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The present invention relates, *inter alia*, to elongated, reinforced construction elements having a shape of a tubular or hollow profile, an I-profile, H-profile, tubular profile or other profile comprising a body with legs or arms having an E-modulus of 6000 Mpa or more, with a

wood-like appearance comprising a composite material of a matrix of thermoplastic synthetic

material; namely, polyolefin, PVC or polycarbonate and at least 50% by weight of a mass of

dried cellulose particles of less than 1% by weight moisture, with a combination of small and

large particles of the sizes indicated in Claim 30, containing embedded in said composite

material at least one continuous longitudinal reinforcement element which is in tight engagement

with said composite material for providing tensile reinforcement or compressive reinforcement.

Unlike the cited prior art, applicants have formed shaped elongated reinforced

construction elements of defined shapes where the reinforcement elements are continuous in the

longitudinal direction of the construction element and are embedded at desired locations, instead

of randomly, in order to provide the necessary tensile reinforcement or compressive reinforcement for the construction element. The continuous longitudinal reinforcement elements

are not randomly mixed with the matrix material formed of the synthetic plastic material and the

wood particles or other cellulose particles. Instead, the reinforcement elements are embedded in the construction elements of the present invention in predetermined desired locations and are in

tight engagement with the composite material but not mixed therewith. This can be readily seen

in the drawings which accompany the application such as Figure 5 which shows the

reinforcement elements 20 discreetly embedded in the flange portion of the construction element.

Further illustrations showing the embedded reinforcement elements located in the desired

places rather than randomly mixed in with the matrix material are shown in Figures 4A-4H.

Thus, it can be seen that the reinforcement elements of the present invention are distinctly

different from the typical short filler materials of the prior art which are randomly distributed

throughout the thermoplastic matrix.

Page 8 of 12

LIT\981439.1

The principal reference relied on in the Official Action is the patent of the Vittorio De Zen, U.S. 6,189,269, which shows a thermoplastic wall forming member with a wiring channel.

The thermoplastic matrix contains glass fibers dispersed therein (col. 2, lines 65-67); namely, up

to 35% of short glass fibers; see col. 8, lines 1-10. Note the teaching of De Zen that the glass

fibers should not be too large or too concentrated; see col. 8, lines 1-10. Thus, the fibers are to

be dispersed. The products shown in De Zen are formed by coextruting the glass fiber

containing thermoplastic material and a smooth thermoplastic skin covering. A variety of fillers

can also be used as mentioned by the patentee in column 9, beginning at line 53.

De Zen does not show the continuous longitudinal reinforcement element embedded in

the composite material in a non-random manner to form tensile reinforcement or compressive

reinforcement members as recited in the claims herein. In fact, the teaching in De Zen to use

short fibers is directly contrary to the use of continuous longitudinal reinforcing elements

according to this invention. Thus, De Zen teaches away from the present invention. The Official

Action refers to the absence of the word "short" in De Zen. However, De Zen describes his glass

fiber as "small glass fiber" (col. 7, line 55), "very fine short glass fiber (col. 7, line 66) and "a

few microns in diameter and a few millimeters in length" (col. 8, lines 6-7).

Applicants' claims herein, in contrast, call for a continuous longitudinal element and De

Zen fails to show any continuous elements. Even the drawings of De Zen show short,

 ${\it discontinuous \ fibers \ \underline{dispersed} \ throughout \ his \ product.} \ \ Applicants' \ reinforcement \ elements \ are$

located in non-random, non-dispersed, locations and are not dispersed throughout as is required

by De Zen. Nothing in De Zen would suggest to place continuous longitudinal reinforcement

elements in a non-random manner in the construction element.

Page 9 of 12

LIT\981439.1

The patent of *Markush* issued in 1978, years prior to *De Zen*, does not provide any reason, suggestion, or motivation whereby a person skilled in the art would be led to add the

continuous longitudinal reinforcement elements as defined in the present application to the

products of the De Zen patent. Markush simply shows compositions containing a polyisocyanate

that are in the nature of foams which may contain a wide variety of fillers wherein the essential

filler is the silica sol. Numerous other solid inorganic or organic substances can be used such as

· · ·

mentioned in column 25, beginning at line 7. Wood chips are mentioned at line 19 along with a

large number of other similar and dissimilar materials. The patentee mentions that the materials

obtained can be used instead of wood or hard fiber boards, see col. 24, lines 52-57. The products

of the Markush patent are foam materials intended to be rapid setting of high compressions

strength, of high thermal and acoustic insulation with good flame resistance and resistance to

fire, see col. 3, lines 51-57.

Applicants acknowledge that wood chips have been used in the past for blending with

thermoplastic polymers but there is nothing in the *Markush* patent which would suggest that the glass fibers of the primary reference of *De Zen* be replaced with wood chips or other cellulose

particles having a moisture content of less than 1%.

Indeed, De Zen who filed his first application in 1993 is presumed to have knowledge of

all prior art at that time including Markush. De Zen did not mention wood particles when he

prepared his application, presumably because it did not occur to him to do so; i.e. it was not

obvious to De Zen to use wood particles either in place of, or in addition to, his glass fibers.

Furthermore, and with reference to the dependent claims, there is nothing in the

references either individually or in combination which would suggest that the mass of cellulose

Page 10 of 12

LIT\981439.1

particles in the polymer account for at least 50% by weight as defined in Claim 31 or the other features of the dependent claims.

Moreover, even if wood or cellulosic fibers from *Markush* were used to replace the glass fiber, the result would still not reach applicants' product. The features of selected shapes, strength, properties, cellulosic fiber size relationship and other features of Claim 30 would still

be missing.

In summary, the references cited in the official action show the conventional state-of-theart with respect to the thermoplastic wall forming members which are formed of channel forming
members as shown in the drawings of the *De Zen* patent where the glass fibers are an essential
and important part of the invention and where the patentees teach that the glass fibers should be
short and uniformly distributed throughout. There is no disclosure of any continuous
reinforcement elements embedded in the composite material as required by the claims in the
present application. *Markush* is of merely peripheral interest since the reference is interested in
making a foam concrete, as a mortar or as a coating for various surfaces. Certainly, there is no
disclosure to embed in a composite material, as defined herein, the continuous longitudinal
reinforcement element for providing tensile reinforcement or compressive reinforcement as
required by the claims in the present application.

The new rejection of Claims 34-38 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of *De Zen*, *Markush*, and *West*, U.S. 3,856,891, is traversed and reconsideration is respectfully requested. *De Zen* and *Markush* are discussed above and the remarks made there apply here as well. App. No. 10/801,989 Amend, dated Feb. 8, 2006

Resp. to Final OA of June 1, 2006

The patent of West relates to sheeting material made of olefin polymers. Nothing in West would suggest forming a product as defined herein having an embedded continuous longitudinal reinforcement element as specified in the claims.

Accordingly, applicants respectfully submit that the references failed to make out a case of prima facie obviousness for the claimed invention. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection be withdrawn and the claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP

By:

Dated: Feb. 8, 2007 Suite 3100, Promenade II 1230 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3592 Telephone: (404) 815-3593

Facsimile: (404) 685-6893